



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Vignia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|-----------------|----------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|------------------|
| 09/477,021 | 01/03/2000 | ANIL KUMAR CHANDRUPATLA | CISCO-1340 | 8615 |
| 7 | 590 07/15/2003 | | | |
| DAVID B RITCHIE | | | EXAMINER | |
| P O BOX 6406 | = | | NGUYEN, CHAU T | |
| SAN JOSE, CA | A 95164-0640 | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| | - | | 2176 | |
| | | | DATE MAILED: 07/15/2003 | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | | s. F | pi |
|---|---|--|--------------------------------------|
| • | Application No. | Applicant(s) | |
| Advisory Action | 09/477,021 | CHANDRUPATLA ET AL. | |
| , | Examiner | Art Unit | |
| | Chau Nguyen | 2142 | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication ap | pears on the cover sheet | with the correspondence address | |
| THE REPLY FILED 02 July 2003 FAILS TO PLACE THE Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appel Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. | avoid abandonment of th (1) a timely filed amendment | is application. A proper reply to a ent which places the application in | |
| PERIOD FOR F | REPLY [check either a) o | r b)] | |
| a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the main b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WIT 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The status of the date for purposes of determining the period fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Cottom of the date of | is Advisory Action, or (2) the date later than SIX MONTHS from AS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS from the date on which the petition up dof extension and the corresponditude of the shortened statutory periodifice later than three months a | n the mailing date of the final rejection. THS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MI Inder 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate of the appropriate of the fee. The appropriate of for reply originally set in the final Office a | extension extension ection; or |
| 1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellan 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 C | | | |
| 2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered | because: | | |
| (a) X they raise new issues that would require furt | ther consideration and/or | search (see NOTE below); | |
| (b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note | · | | |
| (c) they are not deemed to place the application issues for appeal; and/or | n in better form for appea | I by materially reducing or simplifyi | ng the |
| (d) they present additional claims without cancer NOTE: | eling a corresponding nu | mber of finally rejected claims. | |
| 3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reje | | | |
| 4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) wou canceling the non-allowable claim(s). | ıld be allowable if submitt | ed in a separate, timely filed amend. | dment |
| 5.⊠ The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request f application in condition for allowance because: r | | | e the |
| 6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered be raised by the Examiner in the final rejection. | ecause it is not directed S | SOLELY to issues which were newl | у |
| 7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendme explanation of how the new or amended claims | | | |
| The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows | s: | | |
| Claim(s) allowed: | | | |
| Claim(s) objected to: | | | |
| Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-66</u> . | | | |
| Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: | _ | _ | |
| 8. The proposed drawing correction filed on | is a) ☐ approved or b) ☐ | disapproved by the Examiner. | |
| 9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statem | nent(s)(PTO-1449) Pape | r No(s) | |
| 10. Other: | 1110 | 0. | |
| | Mark R. 6 | owell_ | |
| | MARK POWEL SUPERVISORY PATENT TECHNOLOGY CENTE | l Examiner | |

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-303 (Rev. 04-01)